WATER/ABJ/PTL/RKN:jlj

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

WATER DIVISION Water Branch RESOLUTION NO. W- 4333 June 6. 2002

RESOLUTION

(RES. W-4333), DEL ORO WATER COMPANY, INC., PARADISE PINES DISTRICT (PPD). ORDER AUTHORIZING A GENERAL INCREASE IN RATES PRODUCING \$121,745 OR 10.51% ADDITIONAL ANNUAL REVENUE.

SUMMARY

By Draft Advice Letter, accepted on November 5, 2001, PPD seeks to increase revenues by \$121,745 or 10.51% to recover increased expenses of operation and earn an adequate return on its plant investment. For Test Year 2002, this resolution grants an increase in gross annual revenues of \$121,745 or 10.51%, which is estimated to provide a rate of return on rate base of 9.97%.

BACKGROUND

PPD requested authority under Section VI of General Order 96-A and Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code to increase rates for water service by \$121,745 per year or 10.51% in 2002. PPD's request shows that its gross revenue of \$1,158,670 at present rates would increase to \$1,280,415 at proposed rates.

PPD's last general rate increase became effective on July 1, 1997, pursuant to Commission Res. W-4049, which authorized a rate increase of \$74,283 or 7.18% with a rate of return of 10.90%. PPD's current rates became effective on September 25, 2001, pursuant to Res. W-4300, which authorized a rate base offset increase of \$109,909 or 11.11%. PPD presently serves 4,483 metered customers in the area known as Fir Haven Subdivision, Sierra Del Oro Subdivisions, Paradise Pines Subdivisions, and vicinity, located approximately six miles north of Paradise, Butte County.

124218 - 1 -

PPD/DR AL/ABJ/PTL/RKN:jlj

DISCUSSION

The Water Division (Division) made an independent analysis of PPD's summary of earnings. Appendix A shows PPD's and the Division's estimates of the summaries of earnings at present, requested, and adopted rates for test year 2002. Appendix A also shows differences between PPD's and the Division's estimates of operating expenses and rate base. PPD accepted the Division's estimates but requests authority to establish a fullcost purchased power balancing account. The Division believes that there are opportunities for PPD to reduce power costs by making certain adjustments to its operation. A full-cost balancing account, which requires refunding of any savings in power costs to customers, would certainly remove any motivation for the utility to pursue changes in its operation to improve efficiency. Instead Division recommends that a purchase power memorandum account be authorized. PPD is not required to seek recovery from a memorandum account. If it does so, PPD's ability to recover from the purchase power memorandum account is contingent upon the reasonableness of account entries and shall include, but not be limited to, on assessment of the utility's reasonable pursuit of energy efficiency measures.

PPD's draft advice letter requested rates that it estimated would produce a rate of return on rate base of 9.97%. The Division's Audit and Compliance Branch (ACB) has determined that a 9.97% rate of return for PPD is reasonable, therefore, the summary of earnings in Appendix A shows a rate of return of 9.97% at the Division's recommended rates. PPD did not include in its projected power expenses PG&E's rate surcharge increases authorized by Commission Decisions 01-03-082 and 01-05-064. Therefore, its purchased power cost estimate is understated. In contrast, the Division based its estimate on projected power consumption in the test year using current Pacific Gas and Electric Company's rates which include the latest rate surcharge increases. Accordingly, Division's estimate of \$385,439 exceeds PPD's estimates of \$246,461 by \$138,978. In order that PPD not earn more than the 9.97% rate of return found reasonable by ACB, the Division recommended that only \$315,439 of purchased power expense be recovered in rates. Although this amount falls \$69,908 short of the Division's estimate of \$385,439, the total rate increase recommended by Division is the same as the full amount requested by PPD and noticed to its customers. Furthermore, the Division believes that PPD may significantly reduce its power expense by making certain efficiency improvement adjustments in its operation. PPD plans to implement such improvements or adjustments. They could include installing a single primary meter for Well # 6 and the booster pump, installing variable frequency drives for its pump motors and installing a self generation system. These improvements should reduce power costs enough to where PPD should be able to cover its costs in rates. Since it will be necessary for PPD to invest capital for utility plant and equipment in order to make the energy efficiency improvements, it should be allowed to file, by advice letter, for appropriate rate relief to recover the prudent capital costs associated

June 6, 2002

with the energy efficiency improvement of its system. PPD has indicated that it will work with the Division to make the adjustments.

Under guidelines established by Commission Decision No. (D.) 92-03-093, the Commission staff must calculate net revenues by both the return on rate base and the operating ratio methods that determine revenue and select the method that produces the most revenue. Division evaluated the net revenue using both methods and determined that the return on rate base method provides the most revenue.

PPD's filed tariffs currently contain one rate schedule, Schedule No. PP-1A – Annual General Metered Service. PPD proposes to increase rates uniformly, increasing both the service charge rates and the commodity rate by the system average increase of 10.51%. Current rate design policy allows Class B water utilities to recover up to 50% of its fixed costs in the service charge component of a metered rate schedule. Compliance with this policy was inadvertently overlooked when rates were set in PPD's last general rate case, therefore, a uniform increase in service charge and commodity rates proposed by PPD will only perpetuate non-compliance with service charge revenue recovering approximately 68% of fixed costs. Although the Division recommended rates, shown in Appendix B, allow 62% recovery of fixed costs in the service charge, it is a reduction from 68%. Division recommends that further reduction be made in subsequent general rate increases until 50% is achieved. The rate design recommended by Division also makes adjustments to the service charges for meters greater than 1-inch in order to more closely conform to the rate design policy for service charge allocation by meter size established in Commission D.85-06-064.

At the Division's recommended rates shown in Appendix B, the monthly bill for a 5/8 X 3/4 metered customer using 1,000 cubic feet of water will increase by \$2.22 from \$21.30 to \$23.52 or 10.42%. A comparison of customer bills at present and recommended rates is shown in Appendix C.

The adopted quantities and tax calculations are shown in Appendix D.

NOTICE AND PROTESTS

A notice of the proposed increase in rates and notice of a public meeting were mailed to each customer on November 15, 2001. The Division received six letters responding to the notice. The responses complained of the magnitude of the requested increase. The Commission's Consumer Affairs Branch (CAB) reports that it has received two complaints on PPD and they were both related to billing.

CAB informed the Division that PPD promptly responds and resolves complaints.

On December 12, 2001, the Division held a public meeting in PPD's service area. The Division's representative explained the Commission's rate-setting procedure and the utility's representative explained the need for the increase. There were three individuals present at the meeting. The comments and questions raised by the persons attending the meeting dealt mainly with the need and magnitude of the increase.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The Division's recommended Summary of Earnings (Appendix A) is reasonable and should be adopted.
- 2. The rates recommended by the Division (Appendix B) are reasonable and should be authorized.
- 3. The quantities (Appendix D) used to develop the Division's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 4. The rate increase proposed by the Division is the full amount requested by PPD and noticed to its customers.
- 5. The rate increase recommended by the Division includes a projected power expense less than actual year 2001 usage at current PG&E rates and surcharges.
- 6. PPD plans to pursue development of energy efficiency measures to achieve reduced power costs.
- 7. It is reasonable for PPD to have a purchased power memorandum account to track power costs in the event that the reasonable power efficiency measures pursued by PPD are unsuccessful.
- 8. The rate increase proposed by the Division is justified and the resulting rates are just and reasonable.
- 9. Power costs may significantly be reduced by adjusting certain utility operations thereby causing the cost of power not to exceed the power expense estimate recommended by the Division.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Authority is granted under Public Utilities Code Section 454 for the Del Oro Water Company, Inc., Paradise Pines District, to file an advice letter incorporating the Summary of Earnings and revised rate schedules attached to this resolution as Appendices A and B, respectively, and concurrently to cancel its presently effective rate Schedule No. PP-1A – Annual General Metered Service. Its filing shall comply with General Order 96-A. The effective date of the revised schedule shall be five days

after the date of filing.

- 2. Del Oro Water Company, Inc., Paradise Pines District, is authorized to establish a purchase power memorandum account to track power costs.
- 3. Del Oro Water Company, Inc., Paradise Pines District, may, but is not required to, seek recovery of memorandum account by advice letter. Said recovery is contingent upon the reasonableness of account entries and shall include an assessment of whether Paradise Pines District has pursued reasonable energy efficiency measures.
- 4. Del Oro Water Company, Inc., Paradise Pines District, may file, by advice letter, for appropriate rate relief related to prudent capital investment associated with the energy efficiency improvement of its system.
- 5. This resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on June 6, 2002; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN Executive Director

LORETTA M. LYNCH
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
CARL W. WOOD
GEOFFREY F. BROWN
MICHAEL R. PEEVEY
Commissioners

APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF EARNINGS

DEL ORO WATER COMPANY, PARADISE PINES DISTRICT TEST YEAR 2002

	PPD I	Estimated	Branch Estimated		Recommended Rates	
Item	Present Rates	Requested Rates	Present Rates	Requested Rates		
Operating Revenues						
Metered	1,158,670	1,280,415	1,158,670	1,350,329	1,280,415	
Other						
Total Revenues	1,158,670	1,280,415	1,158,670	1,350,329	1,280,415	
Operating Expenses						
Purchased Water	16,215	16,215	0	0	C	
Purchased Power	246,461	246,461	385,439	385,439	315,531	
Employee Labor	147,581	147,581	132,959	132,959	132,959	
Materials	16,899	16,899	16,753	16,753	16,753	
Contract Work	20,636	20,636	20,517	20,517	20,517	
Transportation	36,947	36,947	31,729	31,729	31,729	
Other Plant Maint.	491	491	488	488	488	
Office Salaries	126,072	126,072	125,555	125,555	125,555	
Mgmt. Salaries	75,339	75,339	73,543	73,543	73,543	
Empl. Pens. & Benefits	42,637	42,637	42,637	42,737	42,737	
Uncollectibles	5,686	5,686	5,686	5,686	5,686	
Office Svc. & Rentals	57,646	57,646	57,646	57,646	57,646	
Office Supp. & Exps.	57,222	57,222	53,613	53,613	53,613	
Professional Services	31,674	31,674	6,944	6,944	6,944	
Insurance	20,731	20,731	14,182	14,182	14,182	
Reg. Comm. Exp.	13,351	13,351	8,365	8,365	8,365	
General Expense	4,797	4,797	4,769	4,769	4,769	
Other Volume Related	990	998	990	990	990	
Subtotal	921,383	921,383	981,815	981,815	911,907	
Depreciation	111,634	111,634	123,554	123,554	123,554	
Payroll taxes	19,740	19,740	19,741	19,741	19,741	
Property taxes	28,068	28,068	28,068	28,068	28,068	
Income Taxes	800	8,914	800	8,366	8,367	
Total Deductions	1,081,625	1,089,739	\$1,153,978	1,153,978	1,091,636	
Net Revenue	77,081	190,704	4,692	126,437	188,877	
Rate Base						
Average Plant	5,636,507	5,636,507	5,636,507	5,636,507	5,636,507	
Average Depr. Res.	-3,462,531	-3,462,531	-3,488,827	-3,488,827	-3,488,827	
Net Plant	2,173,976		2,187,680	2,187,680		
Less: Advances	-86,100		-86,100	-86,100	-86,100	
Contributions	-259,508	-259,508	-290,325	-290,325	-290,325	
Deferred income taxes						
Plus: Working Cash	76,779	76,779	81,062	81,062	74,992	
Mat'l & Suppl.	7,157	7,157	7,157	7,157	7,157	
Rate Base	1,912,304	1,912,307	1,899,463	1,899,463	1,893,404	
Rate of Return	4.03%	9.97%	0.25%	9.97%		

(END OF APPENDIX A)

APPENDIX B RATES

Del Oro Water Company, Paradise Pines District Test Year 2002

Schedule No. PP-1A

ANNUAL GENERAL METERED SERVICE PARADISE PINES DISTRICT

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service furnished on an annual basis to the Paradise Pines District service area.

TERRITORY

All territories served by Del Oro Water Company, Inc. in the area known as Paradise Pines Subdivisions, and vicinity, located approximately 6 miles north of Paradise, Butte County.

RATES

Quantity Charge:

A 11 T X 7 .	100 0	 \$1.3420
All Water	ner IIIII cii ff	S 1 34711

Service Charge:	Per Meter <u>Per Year</u>
For 5/8 x ¾-inch meter	\$121.20
For¾-inch meter	\$182.40
For 1-inch meter	\$306.00
For1.5-inch meter	\$516.96
For2-inch meter	\$709.92
For3-inch meter	\$1,223.04
For4-inch meter	\$1,617.96

The Service Charge is a readiness-to-serve charge which is applicable to all metered service and to which is added the monthly charge computed at the Quantity Rate.

(END OF APPENDIX B)

APPENDIX C COMPARISON OF RATES

Del Oro Water Company, Paradise Pines District Test Year 2002

		Per Service Connection Per Year		
		Present Rates	Recommended Rates	% Increase
Metered Rate Service				
Service Charge:				
5/8 x ¾-inch meter		121.20	121.20	0
¾ - inch		182.40	182.40	0
1-inch meter		306.00	306.00	0
1 ½ - inch		427.80	516.96	20.8415
2-inch meter		587.40	709.92	20.8580
3-inch meter		1,011.00	1,223.04	20.9733
4-inch meter		1,337.40	1,617.96	20.9780
Quantity Charge				
Total charge All water, per 100 cu. ft.		1.12	1.3420	19.82142
Quantity Charge structur	e:	Base Rate	1.3420	
		Total charge	1.3420	
A	1 C	41 - 5 /0 - 3	/ : l t ! l	l1
A monthly comparison bil	i ior a cus	tomer with a 5/8 x %	4 -ıncn meter is snown	below:
Monthly Usage in 100 cu. ft.	Presen Bills	t Recommended Bills	d Amount Increase	% Increase
	\$	\$	\$	%
0	10.10	10.10	0	0.0%
(Average) 10	21.30	23.52	2.22	10.423%
20	32.50	36.94	4.44	13.662%
40	54.90	63.78	8.88	16.175%
60 77.30		90.62	13.32	17.232%
80	99.70	117.46	17.76	17.8i3%
100	122.10	144.30	22.20	18.182%

APPENDIX D PAGE 1

DEL ORO WATER COMPANY PARADISE PINES DISTRICT

ADOPTED QUANTITIES TEST YEAR 2002

1. Purchased Power

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Effective Date January 1, 2002 Schedule A-1 Medium General Demand Metered Service

ENERGY CHARGE (per kWh per month)

Summer	\$0.1487
Winter	\$0.10193

ENERGY PROCUREMENT SURCHARGE

(per kWh per month)

Summer	\$0.0714
Winter	\$0.03838

Total Cost \$385,439

Total kWh	1,971,447
Average Unit Cost \$/kWh	\$0.19551

2. Payroll

Employee Labor	\$132,959
Office Salaries	\$125,555
Management Salaries	\$ 73,543

3. Payroll Taxes \$19,741

4. Property taxes \$28,068

APPENDIX D PAGE 2

DEL ORO WATER COMPANY PARADISE PINES DISTRICT

ADOPTED QUANTITIES TEST YEAR 2002

Service Connections

Meter Size

5/8 x 3/4 "	4445
3/4 "	0
1"	21
1-1/2 "	9
2"	2
3"	3
4"	3

4483

Metered Water Sales Used to Design Rates

ADOPTED TAX CALCULATIONS

1.	Operating Revenues	\$1,280,415
2.	Expenses	\$911,890
3.	Depreciation	\$123,554
4.	Taxes Other Than Income	\$47,808
5 .	Interest Expense	\$160,000
6.	Taxable Income for State Tax	\$37,162
7.	State Tax	\$3,285
8.	Taxable Income for FIT	\$33,877
9.	Federal Tax	\$5,082

(END OF APPENDIX D)